
Exploring Contemporary US Supreme Court Cases: Landmark Case Law

When it comes to American law, few institutions hold as much power and influence as the US Supreme Court. As the highest court in the land, it plays a crucial role in shaping legal interpretations and establishing precedents that govern the nation. This article delves into some of the most notable contemporary US Supreme Court cases, examining their impact on case law and their lasting significance.

The Roe v. Wade Case: Shaping Reproductive Rights

This landmark case decided in 1973 ignited nationwide debates on reproductive rights and forever altered the legal landscape surrounding abortion in the United States. The Supreme Court ruled that the Constitution protects a woman's right to choose whether or not to have an abortion, defining the parameters within which individual states can regulate the procedure.





ERISA: Contemporary US Supreme Court Cases (LandMark Case Law)

by LandMark Publications (Kindle Edition)



Language : English File size : 733 KB Text-to-Speech : Enabled Screen Reader : Supported Enhanced typesetting: Enabled Word Wise : Enabled Print length : 431 pages Lending : Enabled




The Citizens United Case: Money as Speech

In 2010, the Supreme Court's ruling in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission caused a seismic shift in campaign finance regulations. The case centered around the argument that restricting corporate and union spending in elections infringed upon their right to freedom of speech. In a controversial decision, the court held that political spending by corporations and unions is a form of protected speech under the First Amendment.

This ruling paved the way for the creation of Super PACs (Political Action Committees), allowing unlimited amounts of money to flow into political campaigns. Advocates argue that it enhances free speech, while critics claim it has a corrupting influence on the democratic process. The Citizens United case continues to shape laws concerning political expenditure and has become a focal point in discussions on campaign finance reform.

Miranda v. Arizona: Protecting the Accused's Rights

The case of Miranda v. Arizona, decided in 1966, revolutionized criminal law in the United States. Ernesto Miranda had been convicted of kidnapping and rape, but his confession was obtained without informing him of his rights to remain silent and have an attorney present. The Supreme Court ruled that the accused must be informed of their rights under what is now known as the Miranda Warning.




Brown v. Board of Education: Overcoming Racial Segregation

One of the most significant Supreme Court cases in American history, Brown v. Board of Education, led to the desegregation of schools in the United States. In 1954, the Supreme Court unanimously ruled that racial segregation in public

schools was unconstitutional, overturning the "separate but equal" doctrine established by the Plessy v. Ferguson case in 1896.

This



Obergefell v. Hodges: Recognizing Same-Sex Marriage


In a landmark decision in 2015, the Supreme Court legalized same-sex marriage nationwide, overturning state bans on gay marriage. The case, Obergefell v. Hodges, extended marriage rights to same-sex couples, recognizing that the fundamental right to marry is guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment.



The US Supreme Court has played a crucial role in shaping the legal landscape through its landmark decisions. From reproductive rights to campaign finance, and from protecting individual rights to promoting equality, Supreme Court cases have had a profound impact on American society.

Understanding and analyzing these contemporary US Supreme Court cases and their landmark case law allows us to comprehend the evolving dynamics of the judicial system and the impact of legal precedents on shaping the nation's values and principles.

As the Court continues to decide on key issues, it is essential to remain engaged and informed about the significance of these cases, as they continue to mold the future of the United States.



ERISA: Contemporary US Supreme Court Cases (LandMark Case Law)

by LandMark Publications (Kindle Edition)

 $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow 5$ out of 5

Language : English File size : 733 KB Text-to-Speech : Enabled Screen Reader : Supported Enhanced typesetting: Enabled Word Wise : Enabled Print length : 431 pages Lending : Enabled



This ebook contains the text of 31 contemporary US Supreme Court opinions on the ERISA statute.

Contemporary ERISA Decisions 1990-2000


- 1. Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp. v. The LTV Corp., 496 U.S. 633 (1990)
- 2. Peacock v. Thomas, 516 U.S. 349 (1996)
- 3. Lockheed Corp. et al. v. Spink, 517 U.S. 882 (1996)
- 4. Varity Corp. v. Howe et al., 516 U.S. 489 (1996)
- 5. De Buono . v. NYSA-ILA Medical and Clinical Service Fund, 520 U.S. 806 (1997)
- 6. Boggs v. Boggs, 520 U.S. 833 (1997)

- 7. California Division of Labor Standards Enforcement v. Dillingham Construction 519 U.S. 316 (1997)
- 8. Inter Modal Rail Employees Association v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, 520 U.S. 510 (1997)
- 9. Geissal v. Moore Medical Corp., 524 U.S. 74 (1998)
- 10. Eastern Enterprises v. Apfel, 524 U.S. 498 (1998)
- 11. Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. Schoonejongen (93-1935), 514 U.S. 73 (1995)
- 12. Hughes Aircraft Co. v. Jacobson, 525 U.S. 432 (1999)
- 13. UNUM Life Ins. Co. of America v. Ward, 526 U.S. 358 (1999)
- New York State Conference of Blue Cross & Blue Shield Plans v. Travelers,
 U.S. 645 (1995)

Contemporary ERISA Decisions 2000-2010


- 1. Pegram v. Herdrich, 530 U.S. 211 (2000)
- 2. Egelhoff v. Egelhoff, 532 U.S. 141 (2001)
- 3. Harris Trust and Sav. Bank v. Salomon Smith Barney Inc., 530 U.S. 238 (2000)
- 4. Great-West Life & Annuity Ins. Co. v. Knudson, 534 U.S. 204 (2002)
- 5. Rush Prudential HMO, Inc. v. Moran, 536 U. S. 355 (2002)

- 6. Black & Decker Disability Plan v. Nord, 538 U.S. 822 (2003)
- 7. Kentucky Assn. of Health Plans, Inc. v. Miller, 538 U.S. 329 (2003)
- 8. Raymond B. Yates, M.D., P.C. Profit Plan v. Hendon, 541 U.S. 1 (2004)
- 9. Central Laborers' Pension Fund v. Heinz, 541 U.S. 739 (2004)
- 10. Aetna Health Inc. v. Davila, 542 U.S. 200 (2004)
- 11. Howard Delivery Service v.Zurich Amer. Insurance Co., 547 U.S. 651 (2006)
- 12. Beck v. PACE, Int'l Union 551 U.S. ____ (2007)
- 13. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Glenn, 554 U. S. ____ (2008)
- 14. James LaRue v. DeWolff, Boberg & Associates, Inc., 552 U. S. ____ (2008)
- 15. Kennedy v. Plan Administrator for DuPont Sav. and Investment Plan, 555 U.S.(2009)
- 16. Conkright v. Frommert 556 U.S. ___ (2009)
- 17. Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Insurance Co., 560 U.S. ___ (2010)




Ferc Tariffs Contemporary Decisions Public Utility: Analyzing Key Factors and Impact on Consumers

: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Tariffs have always played a vital role in shaping the energy landscape in the United States. These tariffs,...




BAPCPA Contemporary Decisions Litigator: A Comprehensive Guide to Navigating Bankruptcy Law

If you are facing financial turmoil and considering filing for bankruptcy, understanding the intricacies of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and...




Discover the Power of Attorney Client Privilege Litigator Landmark Publications

Are you in need of legal representation? Do you value confidentiality and trust in your attorney-client relationship? Look no further! In this article, we will explore the...




Contract Disputes Act Landmark Publications: A Comprehensive Guide for Businesses

Are you a business owner or professional dealing with contract disputes? If so, it's crucial to understand the Contract Disputes Act and its landmark publications that can...




The Impact of Qualified Immunity on Employment Law: A Comprehensive Guide

Qualified immunity is a legal doctrine that offers protection to government officials from being held personally liable for their actions, even if those actions violate an...




The Duty To Disclose Securities Law: Unveiling the Rules and Responsibilities

Investing in the stock market is both thrilling and risky. For investors, obtaining accurate and reliable information is vital in making informed decisions. This is where...



Municipal Liability Under Section 1983 Litigator: Understanding the Complexities and Implications

Section 1983 of the United States Code is a powerful tool in allowing individuals to seek redress for violations of their constitutional rights. It provides a legal...



The Untold Secrets of Antitrust Litigator Landmark Publications: Revealing the Strategies That Changed the Game

Antitrust litigation is a complex field that has a significant impact on various industries. As corporations continually strive for market dominance, antitrust laws play a...